



## Latin America: **The Diagnosis that was Missing** **Movement for the 5 Reforms**

*"When I use a word," said Humpty Dumpty, "it means what I want him to say, no more, no less." "But the question is," Alicia insisted, "if you can make words say so many different things." "The question is," said Humpty Dumpty, "to know who is in charge ... that is all."*

*Lewis Carroll, "Alice in Wonderland", 1865.*

### **Another diagnosis? Another one?**

In our Latin America, the diagnosis about our evils, economic and of all order, are abundant, just as some of them are very good. Many of them have been published on the Internet with the signature of capable, responsible, and diligent specialists, professors and investigators of prestigious Universities, and endorsed by international entities. Their conclusions translate and summarize the press sometimes, though not very frequently.

They have data, numbers, and many other valuable information. Their recommendations, though, are not always good, for they frequently don't escape the statist and socialist paradigms, and for that reason we don't share them in the *Centro de Liberalismo Clásico* (Center of Classical Liberalism). Nevertheless, we have checked and studied, with attention, the most serious ones since years ago.

But the problems they describe, almost the same in all our countries, are not solved. Among other reasons, because they tend to talk about the symptoms, and not about the diseases.

### **A short introduction**

Do words like statism, socialism, and liberalism confuse you? What happens is that the language has been confounded, as already noted in 1865 by the author of "Alice in the Wonderlands".

Do you find politics boring? What in our countries is known as "politics" isn't such; it's "politicking". We're also tired and bored of that. Politics is the activity and science about Government and forms of government; "politicking" are the minor gossips and anecdotes of shady dealings and monkey businesses, that "politickers" do to each other, in their unending quarrels to obtain or preserve the absolute power they enjoy. Politicking is bad, bastard, petty, circumstantial, anecdotic, corrupt, temporal, and changing politics.

About the good politics you should be informed so you distinguish it from the bad one which the politickers do to us, the citizens. Good is liberal politics, the one we liberal politicians practice to liberate our countries from the statist politickers.

Does this document seem large to you? It's not large; what happens is that your free time is short, for one of the evils that are done to us is to reduce our living quality, which obliges us to work more hours per day to subsist. And on the press we're bombarded with the politicker circus for us to not see the real problems. When we see them, we notice that they are the same in all our countries, even though they want to make us believe that our "country is very special and different from the others; their problems are very distinct, and for that require different solutions..." and so the nonsense continues.

The causes of diseases must not be confused with their consequences and symptoms.

**Symptoms** are the unleashed and unpunished crime, rampant poverty, corruption, drug trafficking, absent or badly established institutions, the economies with recurrent crisis and chronic recessions, unemployment, deficient and indoctrinating “education”, the very low medical availability, and the miserable jubilations.

Inefficient “remedies” have been applied, some of them mere “palliative care”, and others, in the worst case, aggravating the condition of the sick, which are our countries.

Until now, there was no diagnosis of the most profound causes and roots of these evils, of which the targeted are result and consequence, visible “symptoms” of more deep disorders that were missing from being described and clinically evaluated in order to formulate the remedies and efficient treatments, and apply them. For that reason, in the Center of Classical Liberalism we did the diagnosis that was missing.

In these years of the XXI Century, long journeys of patient study and analysis in charge of our interdisciplinary teams have served us to present you the appropriate diagnosis.

It unflinching describes the causes of the disorders present in all our nations one by one, even though in some with more gravity than others. We could call them “**The 12 Plagues of Latin America**”. We summarize them as follows, parting from the biggest of all: statism.

### **(1) State overdose**

In the State, Governments have their proper functions: security, justice, and public works of physical infrastructure. “Statism” is to attribute them improper functions in the agriculture, the industry, work, commerce and investment, money and banking, the environment and education, medical attention, jubilations and pensions; even in the familiar and intimate lives of people. Therefore, they’re endowed with more powers than necessary, and are conferred more resources than advisable, despoiling the people and private entities of powers and liberties, depriving them of financial resources. That way governments leave their proper functions unchecked, and block or prevent private agents and people from complying with their own private functions, the ones that correspond to us.

From the tradition of classic liberalism, numerous “thinktanks” have accurately pointed the heart of evil, which is ideological: statism, that predominates not only on its leftist variants, but in their “mercantilist forms” as well, with all its unjust privileges for all types of “special interest groups”. This way, statism dominates parties, the press, universities and churches, art and literature, and in popular culture. It’s the product of long consecutive years of unhealthy indoctrination.

The worst: statism predominates in our minds, as seen in social networks and daily conversations; and in the very wrong opinions that serious studies reflect with surveys and probes; and in the electoral results, always favorable to the statist parties, that is almost all of them.

Statism has been compared many times to a cancer that corrodes the tissue, the vital organs, and the fibers of our societies. And it’s a very accurate comparison.

### **(2) Bad Laws**

But the “thinktanks” have not pointed well to our laws, impregnated of statism, in which that nucleus of evil becomes flesh and gets a tangible and plausible body, generating uncountable state offices, and bureaucratic institutions and apparatus, impeding growth and development.

They have not done what we have: a complete “Bad Laws Catalogue” (and not few constitutional precepts) that cover the proper functions of the State, but also many others in the areas of economy, education, health, and social assistance, which are private by nature, and that because of that particular entities and individuals might develop them much better if they counted with the liberties, powers, and resources that have been usurped from us.

These rules, decrees, and normatives must be abolished, in some cases totally, and in others only in their harmful parts. But all of them in a simultaneous matter.

### **(3) Partial and Isolated Reforms are Insufficient**

“Neoliberal” governments have tried certain “market reforms”, in all and each one of our countries, many times with the best of intentions. However, they have been partial and isolated, and therefore insufficient. They have been directed to amend or remove nothing more than the most rude and insufferable abuses of statism, but not all of them, with incomplete deregulations, and privatizations full of corruption. And above all, to correct all “macroeconomic disequilibrium”, but nothing more.

They didn’t attack the roots of the evil: they didn’t derogate all the bad laws since they lacked with congressional majorities, nor enough partisan and popular support. These reforms were paralyzed many times because of an inefficient “gradualism” -which in many cases was just a pretext to not do any profound reforms- and were incapable of do the necessary changes to be able to generate wealth, employment, and wellness. Because of that they lacked the necessary political capital that major reforms require. The projects were hindered, and the reforms made were soon reversed, and we find ourselves in the same situation, or worse.

To top, these failed experiences have contributed to discredit all that can be labeled as “liberal” or “neoliberal”, which is or appears to be “free market reforms”.

### **(4) Creole “Apartheid”**

We endure too many “special laws”; there’s no legal framework for everyone, and because of that we’re not “equal before the law”. Like in the South African “Apartheid”, segregation is legal; discrimination began by the laws: there were some for white people, and others for the black one, with the purpose of helping them in their development, but “separately”. Here among us there’s political segregation rather than racial; between those above and those below rather than blacks and whites.

Our “special laws” are of two kind: ones of the mercantilist sign, and others of socialist type; in other words a “mixed model”. The first ones are for “those from above”, and set monopolistic niches for the privileged few, inaccessible to the rest. The second establish the so-called “public services” in education, health and social security; and they are terrible, but they are only for “the ones from below”, because the wealthy oligarchies have their private enclaves, of exclusive use.

But in South Africa, there were some rich blacks, with a lot of effort, in the “informal economy”; and also poor whites. Here, in these “two-story” countries, in the bottom one there are also informal entrepreneurs, some of them rich; and in the upper one there are also certain poor people, politically “connected” with grassroots leaders, and can thus obtain favors such as early appointments or beds in “public” hospitals, quotas and scholarships in state education, and better pensions. Here the dividing line does not go through the color of the skin, but because it has the appropriate “connections” or not.

### **(5) Pathological Democracy**

Prof. Olavo de Carvalho, a Brazilian philosopher, accurately distinguishes between normal democracies and pathological ones. In the first ones, you may choose between leftist, rightist,

or "center" parties, these halfway between each other. The political arc is more or less complete.

Here it's not: in our Latin American you can only choose between leftist parties or other leftist ones, a harder or a softer one, or a mercantilist party with more or less or completely the same ideas. The differences are only tenuous nuances, almost impossible to distinguish; and this is one of the reasons that explain the high voter turnout. This closes any possibility to challenge the status quo, and make profound changes.

Of the 150 Latin American parties of greater power and influence in their respective countries, more than 100 ascribe to the "Forum of Sao Paulo", those of the hardest left; and more than 20 belong to the Latin American Committee of the "Socialist International", the "softer" left. The rest, about 30, are those of the "bad right", who do not dare to do the basic reforms.

But, what are these equal or very similar ideas? Those of classical Marxism, combined with mercantilism (the "crony capitalism"); and those of cultural Marxism.

### **(6) Complete Marxism**

"Classic" is the Marxism of the "Communist Manifest" from 1848; specially the 10 points of the "Minimum Program" in its Chapter II; it's in the internet. They dispose of collectivization and socialist nationalization in the field, industry, banking, work and commerce, transport, and education.

If you carefully check them one by one, all of the measures have been applied in Latin America por almost all governments of all parties, even the military ones since more than a century ago. The few exceptions are for the mercantilist laws and institutions. They're the policies that have been seen and are seen as good, normal, and trending, inscribed in legal texts and tribunal sentences, and in decrees and constitutional clauses. They are the cause of the major part of our ills.

"Cultural" is the virulent attack against life, marriage, and the family, coming from the radical feminist and LGBT groups. For its part, the "greens" attack the industry, with renewed vigor. The "multiculturalists" fight against globalization. And the "Postmodernists" undertake it against truth, logic, reason, language and good sense. All this is cultural Marxism, activated in the 21st century, to impose what ironically is known as "political correctness". It serves to weaken or finish liquidating liberal capitalism, in its bases and foundations; and also, to install debates that serve as a smokescreen to avoid a serious discussion about the real causes of real problems, which would lead to a questioning of Classical Marxism.

Cultural Marxism was already in the juvenile works of Marx and Engels, though in incipient form. It hasn't renounced to Classic Marxism, because it's not a rupture from this one, but rather an amplified continuity, extending itself to many other fields the "dialectic" fight against culture and civilization, that in the past XX Century, until very recently, they reserved for the economy and education.

### **(7) Bad Right**

The cancer of Marxism has metastasized, and its ideas permeate people, sectors and parties that are supposed to be "right-wing", or qualified as such; and some are, but to a very diminished degree.

On the one hand the friends of the free market, who resist classical Marxism, but adhere to cultural Marxism; and on the other hand, the conservatives, who support life, marriage and family, and resist the LGBTI agenda, but subscribe to the "greens", mercantilists and Postmodernists.

### **(8) Impotent Majorities**

Cultural struggles, such as religious and ideological ones, are not in principle a matter for governments, limited in their functions, unless the fundamental rights to life, property and freedom are violated. They are for actors, groups and private institutions. But in the countries of this region, as in the world, the great "silent majorities" assist impotently before the blows of Cultural Marxism, led by noisy minorities and activists who control the governments, the press, the teaching, the art guilds and the show, and until not a few "Christian" churches.

However, majorities can't do anything. Why? For lack of time and resources. But, and to what is this lack? To the impoverishing results of the recipes of classic Marxism: for excessive taxes, and arbitrary regulations that prevent us from doing business, economic constraints force us to long and exhausting days of work, for a very meager standard of living.

And on top of that, the truthful explanations are not addressed or heard, due to widespread ignorance, the publishing of the socialist "educational contents", written by left teachers and professors, that journalists of that same orientation repeat us in a constant way, on a daily basis.

Majorities have been "disempowered." But we have the solution: "give back" the power, through "The Great Devolution", through "Five Reforms", at the level of public policy. So that at the level of "private policies", individuals have the resources and the time to undertake and specify "Private Reforms", everyone will know which, in our own families, schools, businesses and jobs, neighborhoods, Churches and voluntary associations, what we want to do but today we can't.

### **(9) International Ties**

The United Nations has more than 100 agencies and bureaucratic structures such as FAO, UNIDO, ILO, UNESCO, IMF and World Bank, UNDP, IFAD, WHO; many of them are in fact controlled by NGOs and groups led by important political parties. In their offices the "consensuses" are made that determine Agreements, Treaties and Protocols that later in each country become Bad Laws. That's why countries have the same problems: because we support the same harmful laws, harmful to our prosperity and well-being.

Sometimes, governments responsible for serious countries, real sovereigns, do not sign many agreements, or sign them with "reserves". Even some nations, developed and emerging, refuse to integrate into these organisms, and bind themselves in this way; in Latin America it is not like that. That is why our countries have lost sovereignty, for a long time. Its "return" is also necessary, and an essential condition to achieve the Five Reforms.

### **(10) Anticorruption Hysteria**

The cardinal principle of Classical Liberalism is the separation of the public and the private. Statism violates it in many ways, allowing shady connections and spurious associations between political sectors and private spheres, both in genuine public functions, which are security, justice, and infrastructure works, as well as in private areas invaded by the economy and finance, education, health and social security. That is why corruption proliferates; from yesteryear It is not a problem: it is a result and consequence or symptom of our biggest problem, which is overflowed statism. Ending statism is the only way to significantly reduce corruption; there is no other.

However, the "anti-corruption hysteria" reaches the paroxysm of fury. Now it is put to people in the head that "the corrupt" have to "return the stolen" so that "that money is invested in health and education." Thus it is believed that we will have wonderful, unbeatable hospitals and public "schools".

Nowadays, professional manipulators can pay well-trained teams of activists who in moments are able to install on their social networks their war cries as "return the stolen money!" And immediately, a huge mass of people is hooked and begins to repeat, to reproduce and forward the messages, as in a pack, to focus public opinion on pseudo-problems, and pseudo-solutions. Every so often the slogans change, introducing "novelties"; and thus achieve their goal: to have us permanently distracted.

The interested sectors are not willing to take this path, and sow in the people the illusion that the "anticorruption struggle" is possible in the statist context. They create in this way the "anticorruption hysteria", which serves as a smokescreen to hide the crude but simple truth, that of things as they really are. To top it off, it also serves to nourish very popular visions, which prevent us from heading out of the labyrinth in which we were locked up.

### **(11) Childish vision of politics, parties and democracy**

The exit is with democracy; and democracy is with parties, and with political action, in Parliaments and Governments. It is not with "marches in the streets", nor is it just with Facebook and Twitter, even though these tools serve us, and by far, to spread our Project 5 R.

But many people do not distinguish between politics and politicking, and rage against "politics and politicians" in general. And it does not leave space for honest and truthful politicians, the classical liberals. And against "parties" en bloc, and "ideologies" as such. They can't discern the bad from the good. Already at the height of their childhood blindness, many also throw their darts against democracy, which is the only way by which we could move to a transition, for a change of system.

### **(12) Absent Representation**

Finally, to conclude this diagnosis, there is a problem that is a framework and a backdrop for many of the others. In part, it is a consequence of the two previous ones: democracy is a regime of "representative" government, and the great popular majorities today lack genuine representation and adjusted to the defense of their legitimate interests. They are "silent" majorities because they have no voice, since there is no one who assumes his political "representation" and speaks for them, loud and clear.

Almost all parties, candidates, cabinets and parliamentary assemblies, only represent themselves, and in any case, ignoble, petty and illegitimate interests.

### **Five Aggravating Factors**

There are many elements or factors that impede seeing the plagues and the true remedies, thus aggravating the evils and sufferings. Let's mention some of them:

(1) Pseudo problems, such as "foreigners take away our jobs!" For years and years, millions of "broken families" in Latin America have subsisted on remittances from their members abroad. But there are many obstacles now, and our emigrations, which increase, as we go from bad to worse, are now "redirected" from some countries in the region, for example. Venezuela, to others, e.g. Colombia, Peru or Chile. There are now more separations, divorces and family bankruptcies.

Venezuela is in great catastrophe. Other countries are not yet like this (although that future is not ruled out); but Venezuelans and Latin Americans always go to "the lesser evil", and those countries leave, to find opportunities that are also scarce, and to make matters worse, outbreaks of xenophobia arise in those who feel "invaded" by foreigners who "take away our jobs!"

In the Five Reforms Movement we see the change of system as the true solution to the real problems, which would enable additional blessings such as "Operation Return", what we call the return of the migrants and the consequent reunification of broken families.

(2) Changed nomenclature. All political etiquettes, such as "liberalism", "progressivism", "populism", have been changed; and thus tremendous confusion reigns. People don't understand, they don't get the point. Many confused ones believe that they know, and declare that "left and right are obsolete concepts", or that "this is not socialism!", and inadvertently other similar errors and confusions, sowing more conceptual chaos and confusion.

Imagine that someone, during the nights, be it as a joke or for evil purposes, change the street signs of the city where you live. Next day, the oldest residents will recognize the difference; but the newest ones will have difficulties. And the tourists and recently arrived neighbors won't be able as well. Just so it happens in politics: the most experimented ones won't get disoriented; but the rest will, especially those who have little time residing, or are passing through. This is what happens with the "neophytes" in politics.

Before, until a few decades ago, in quieter times, politics used to be an activity reserved for professionals in the field. But they have done it too badly, and people have reached very intense states of anguish and despair; people who in "normal" times would never approach politics, now they suddenly do it. They enter a field in which they are "neophytes", ignorant, and to top it all, very nervous and "outraged". Like sheep, easy prey of the wolves.

(3) The press does not help. We have two continental television channels, which set a lot of guidelines for the other media. CNN repeats the points of view and the Agendas of the Socialist International and its Latin American Committee, and the bad right. Telesur does the same with the guidelines of the Sao Paulo Forum.

(4) To make matters worse, there is no time to unlearn and learn. The economic narrowness forces us all to spend huge amounts of time, energy and vital resources in barely surviving.

In Chapter 5 of the book of "Exodus" (that is, the exit), there is one of the most illustrative political lessons of the Bible. When Moses sowed in the Hebrew people the idea of putting an end to the slavery of making bricks in Egypt, Pharaoh ordered his foremen not to give them the straw necessary for their manufacture, but to order the slaves themselves to look for it, so that they would have more work, and forget to think about liberation.

That is how they have us: harassed by work. And for the limited free time: soccer. And besides soccer, alcohol or drugs also serve to stun some.

(5) Politicking and smoke screens. Did you see the "news" of today in the press, TV and radio? For the most part they are pure smoke screens to cover the relevant issues. Not for nothing do the most sensitive aspects always stand out. Did you see the "opinions" on social networks? More than anything, it's about people reinforcing the curtains, with more smoke, although for the most part they do not realize it.

So far the **12 plagues** of our America, and some aggravating. What are we missing? An inventory of the **agents that transmit** evils. It is in the **Annex**, with a description of the **deficiencies in our immune system**; and that have made possible, in the last instance, its propagation.

Our complete remedy is summarized in another complementary document: the COMPENDIUM OF THE FIVE REFORMS. It is a project for ordinary and normal people; we

aspire to represent it with truth and justice, and effectively, to change the system that oppresses us.

*Can it be summarized in a few words? Of course: (1) return or replenish the freedoms of work, commerce and business, as well as teaching and learning, removing all the many statist obstacles in economics and education, in medical care and in social security, that classic Marxism and the bad rights have imposed; privatizing and deregulating sector by sector, including the political parties, which today are arms of the State. To empower us in this way to people, with freedoms and resources (2) so that we can defend ourselves, and get rid of the blows of cultural Marxism.*

*Hence his concept: the "fusionism" of classical and conservative liberals, active principle of the remedy that we promote for statist cancer. It is usually attributed to Frank S. Meyer, a very lucid political theorist and strategist of the 1950s in the USA. But like so many other liberal ideas, its antecedents are Hispanic: it was enunciated and practiced by a Spanish political preclar, Práxedes Mateo Sagasta, in the days of the "Restoration", still in the 19th century. But that is another story.*

*So far, this is the diagnosis that was missing. Finally, let's say that there was also missing, beyond isolated and fragmented solutions, a complete, comprehensive plan to change the entire statist system in each of our countries. We have it.*

*That is "The Great Devolution", unique in its kind, because there is no other. There is no equal, or similar, modesty apart. We spent several years searching, reviewing and comparing books, academic monographs, essays, periodicals, and good surveys and statistics. Its foundations, proposals and bases combine the conclusions of the best economic, legal, social and political sciences, with the most useful lessons of history, Psychology, Philosophy, and Theology.*

*At your service, and that of your children and grandchildren, to break the stalemate. To have a future!*

*Now, we invite you to go to the Annex. And then, to the COMPENDIUM OF THE FIVE REFORMS.*

## **Annex**

### **(I) TRANSMITTING AGENTS**

*Who are they? A list, not exhaustive, of the 7 main **transmitting agents** of the 12 plagues, classified by their functional roles, comprises at least:*

(1) Ideologists and strategists, such as Lenin and Trotsky in Russia, Gramsci, Lukács, the authors of the Frankfurt School, etc.; from before and from now, for classical Marxism and cultural Marxism. In Latin America we also had them and we have them; It's not worth mentioning them. They are smart for evil.

(2) Politicians, and politickers, especially, like the current Presidents, the Forum of Sao Paulo, the Latin American Committee of the Socialist International, and the bad right. And hundreds of thousands of legislators, governors and mayors, etc. They respond to the same guidelines, drawn by the ideologues and strategists, some without knowing it, by inertia, because it is the "mainstream", and they know no other. They are not smart; but they are clever, skilled and unscrupulous.

(3) Bureaucrats, technocrats and "experts" entrenched in public and international organizations. "Specialists" always consulted in their respective "areas of expertise", such

and such. They serve to distract public opinion with irrelevant points and pompous language. They are the tenacious defenders of the status quo, and opposed to any major reform. Your income, positions, promotions, advantages and privileges are what really matter to you.

Every so often, they present a project of an insignificant "little reform", without questioning the system, cosmetic type or "warm cloth", in secondary, peripheral or epidermal aspects, which nevertheless is publicized as if it were of great importance, and generating great "debate". " And politicians, with resounding fanfare of drums and cymbals, introduce it in the Cabinet or Congress, and it comes out as a decree or law. It is done! But the "consultants" systematically block and sabotage fundamental reforms, if they appear; and if they are concrete they seek to reverse them, with supposedly "technical" arguments, figures, data, "studies" and other pretexts.

(4) Repeaters and flatterers; they make chorus and give thundering applause to all the previous ones. They are journalists, writers and commentators, teachers and professors at all levels of education. They are judges and court chambers, presidents of business chambers and professional associations. They are founders and permanent cadres of the "ONGs", what in his days Lenin called "front organization". And all the celebrities and "influencers" of today are ignorant, and foolish, but the most skilled play as "experts," and some take on the roles of ideologues and strategists.

(5) Activists and agitators of base and middle level. Before in the "armed terrorism" were vulgar gunmen who promoted Che Guevara guerrilla fighters. Now in the "ideological and political terrorism": they are the "operators": trade unionists, capos of "groups, organizations and social neighborhood movements". They drag the masses to vote and go to marches in streets and proselytizing acts. They are more ignorant and more foolish than repeaters and flatterers, although the most daring sometimes rise to the roles of politickers.

(6) Businessmen mercantilists, cowards or "useful fools" (another expression of Lenin) that directly or indirectly support the left with their money, or with their media, the institutes and "foundations" they create and finance, etc.

(7) Religious leaders, pastors, priests and Bishops who have always given their flocks to the wolves in Latin America, part of the world where the Christian religion has a very prominent role.

Are those our "enemies"? Isn't it better to call them adversaries or opponents? The word is of the least importance, in any case they are political enemies, not personal ones.

And the millions of people who follow these ideas are definitely not enemies due to mere ignorance, disinformation and inadvertence. They are not our enemies, they are the "victims" of statism, and the public-destiny of our call to support the 5R Project.

## (II) IMMUNOLOGICAL DEFICIENCIES

*The transmitting agents would never have been able to achieve their objectives, if **the most critical problem of all** hadn't existed: deficiencies in the **immune system**. There were no defenses; or they were insufficient.*

*Socialism is a damn in theory, and a hell in practice. Why then does it hold? Not because of their strengths, but because of our weaknesses. Liberals should have been able to lead the entire field on the right, including conservative and moderate nationalist (but not mercantilist) sectors; and thus contain the avalanche of the left. From the beginning this was the **self-critical and not self-indulgent approach** we had at the Center of Classical Liberalism.*

If we review the 7 previous categories one by one, we discover that on our side we lacked the "functional equivalents" of the opposite sign, which would allow us to counteract them. We did not have until today, we missed. We had, but not enough, or not with the required qualities, and/or with the decisive resources to gain influence, authority, decision power, prestige and specific weight:

(1) Theorists to formulate a Governmental Project and a consequent well-structured and articulated Political Plan. Our "thinktanks" believe that everything is economy, and they reject or set aside politics, as well as other auxiliary materials. Almost all are filled with anarchist or anti-Christian "libertarians", enemies of the "conservatives"; and if not, of "neo" liberals halfway between the social democracy and the lukewarm reforms of the "Washington Consensus". Consequently, we lacked:

(2) Decent, determined and convinced politicians, well equipped and armed, to commit themselves to that Plan and that Project. It's the result of that lack: if there is not one thing, there is not the other.

(3) We have specialists, professionals and technicians, each in their area, very competent, but with isolated proposals, without a general, political, and strategic framework. Without a project or plan, there is no "cause" to reach the public; nor a "story" to captivate it. More communication was missing.

(4) Disclosers and propagandists, we have; but without a positive "message", a broad and complete proposal for change. They have remained in pure criticism, if not in pure complaint; that doesn't sit well with people, who see them as always "negative", opposed and opposed to any initiative of the adversary, who always takes the lead in plans and actions to execute them.

(5) Operators and activists, we don't have; we have lacked formation and training in political gymnastics, in which our enemies are experts, and they are very "abundant". They exploit the advantage of taking the lead, with great practical ability.

(6) Entrepreneurs willing to defend free market capitalism? And not seeking momentary privileges? There are very few in each country.

(7) Pastors and religious leaders, ready to transform their churches into "columns and bulwarks of truth" (I Timothy 3:15)? Understood in the ideological and cultural wars against the Kingdom of God, which they claim to defend? There are a very numbered few in each country.

*These are the type of leaders **we're forming**.*

*We are always asked: is Project 5 R too ambitious? Utopian? The desire to change the system is ambitious; but it is the only remedy. And it is not unattainable. The utopian thing is to believe that there will be a remedy if we continue with what we don't so far: a project of integral change. The adversaries have it, since ancient times, and they put it into practice, every day. And something like that can't be fought with nothing.*